

Date:

REGEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 0 3 2006

This facsimile message and its contents are legally privileged and confidential information intended solely for the use of the addressee. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or other use of this message and its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us at the address shown below via the Postal Service. Thank You.

ALSTON&BIRD LLP

101 South Tryon Street, Suite 4000 Charlotte, NC 28280-4000 704-444-1000 Fax: 704-444-1111

TELECOPY

PLEASE DELIVER AS SOON AS POSSIBLE

March 3, 2006	
Recipient:	Company:
Examiner Harry D. Wilkins, III	USPTO
	Group Art Unit 1742
Fax Number:	Voice Number:
(571) 273-8300	
Sender:	·
Guy R. Gosnell	
Message: OFFICIAL FILING	
In re: Norman R. Byrd, et al. Application No. 10/676,860, filed September 30, 2003	
Response to Restriction Requirement attached.	
Number of Pages: (including cover page) 3	
IF NOT RECEIVED PROPERLY, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY AT (704) 444-1000.	
HEED CODE: EDICC	REQUESTED BY: Gwen Frickhoeffer
USER CODE: FRICG CLIENT/MA'TTER: 038190/294893	REQUESTED BY: Gwen Frickhoeffer OPERATOR:

2002

MAR 0 3 2006

Attorney's Docket No. 038190/294893

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re:

Byrd et al.

Confirmation No.: 7651

Appl No.:

10/676,860

Group Art Unit:

1742

Filed:

September 30, 2003

Examiner:

Harry D. Wilkins, III

For:

ELECTROCHEMICAL DEPOSITIONS

APPLIED TO NANOTECHNOLOGY COMPOSITES

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

This is in response to the Office Action dated February 3, 2006, in which the Examiner has required restriction between Group I, namely Claims 1-3 and 5-7, and Group II, namely Claims 4 and 8. Applicant hereby provisionally elects with traverse to prosecute the claims of Group I (Claims 1-3 and 5-7) and expressly reserves the right to file divisional applications or take such other appropriate measures deemed necessary to protect the inventions in the remaining claims.

Notwithstanding the above-required election, Applicant submits that the restriction requirement is improper and that all of the claims should be considered during the examination of this application. In this regard, the Official Action supports the restriction requirement by stating that "[i]n the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as a non-electrolytic coating process." First, the claims of Group II (Claims 4 and 8) are product-by-process claims which recite an electrolytic coating process in which the fiber serves as an electrode such that the nanomolecular layer is chemically bonded to the fiber. Not only does the express language of Claims 4 and 8 specify that the product will be made by an electrolytic coating process, as opposed to the non-electrolytic coating process contemplated by the Official Action, but Applicant submits that a non-electrolytic coating process would be incapable of making the product as claimed.

In this regard, the nanomolecular layer that is bonded to the fiber as a result of the process set forth by Claims 4 and 8 imbues the fiber with unique properties that would not be attained by non-electrolytic coating processes as suggested by the Official Action. In this regard, nonelectrolytic coating processes would only produce a physical attachment between a coating in the underlying fiber, at least sometimes with a space remaining between portions of the coating and the fiber due to sizing or otherwise, in comparison to the chemical bond of the coating to the fiber attained by the electrolytic process set forth by Claims 4 and 8. For each of the foregoing reasons,



Appl No.: 10/676,860 Amdt. dated 03/03/2006

03/03/2006 16:02 FAX 7044441111

Reply to Restriction Requirement of 02/03/2006

Applicant therefore submits that the basis of restrictions set forth by the Official Action is improper and that all of the claims should be examined without restriction.

Should the Examiner have further questions or comments with respect to examination of this case, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner telephone the undersigned so that further examination of this application can be expedited.

It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required, beyond those, which may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 CFR § 1.136(a), and any fee required therefore (including fees for net addition of claims) is hereby authorized to be charged to Deposit Account No. 16-0605.

Respectfully submitted,

Guy R./Gosnell

Registration No. 34,610

CUSTOMER No. 00826
ALSTON & BIRD LLP
Bank of America Plaza
101 South Tryon Street, Suite 4000
Charlotte, NC 28280-4000
Tel Charlotte Office (704) 444-1000
Fax Charlotte Office (704) 444-1111

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the US Patent and Trademark Office at

Fax No. (571) 273-8300 on the date shown below.

Gwen Frickhoeffer

March 3, 2006

Date

CLT01/4797982v1